TRANSACTIONS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 353, Number 5, Pages 2059–2072 S 0002-9947(01)02764-7 Article electronically published on January 10, 2001

IRREDUCIBLE PARTITIONS AND THE CONSTRUCTION OF QUASI-MEASURES

D. J. GRUBB

Abstract. A quasi-measure is a non-subadditive measure defined on only open or closed subsets of a compact Hausdorf space. We investigate the nature of irreducible partitions as defined by Aarnes and use the results to construct quasi-measures when g(X) = 1. The cohomology ring is an important tool for this investigation.

1. Introduction

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and C(X) the space of real-valued continuous functions on X. A function $\rho: C(X) \to \mathbf{R}$ is called quasi-linear if it is linear on each singly generated closed subalgebra of C(X). In [1], Aarnes constructed the first example of a quasi-linear functional which is not linear. He did this by establishing a representation theorem of quasi-linear functionals in terms of "integrals" with respect to quasi-measures.

A quasi-measure on X is a positive set function, μ , defined on \mathcal{A} , the collection of sets which are either open or closed, such that:

- a) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.
- b) If $A_1 \subseteq A_2$, then $\mu(A_1) \leq \mu(A_2)$, c) If $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ is a disjoint union, then $\mu(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)$,
- d) If U is open, then $\mu(U) = \sup{\{\mu(C) : C \subseteq U, C \text{ closed}\}}$.

It is important to emphasize that the third condition only applies when $A \in \mathcal{A}$. In general, it is impossible to consistently define a quasi-measure on sets outside of A. In fact, a quasi-measure extends to a measure on a sigma-algebra only if sets of the form $C \cap U$ can be consistently measured where C is closed and U is open.

In [2], Aarnes obtained a general construction procedure for quasi-measures which we now outline. We will assume from now on that X is both connected and locally connected as well as being compact. Our ultimate goal is to use Aarnes' procedure to construct new quasi-measures.

Definition 1. A subset $A \subseteq X$ is said to be solid if both A and $X \setminus A$ are connected. An irreducible partition $\mathcal{P} = \{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is a collection of disjoint solid sets such that:

(1) Each C_i is closed and each U_{α} is open,

Received by the editors March 3, 1998 and, in revised form, April 25, 2000. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 28C15, 55N45, 46G12. Key words and phrases. Quasi-measure, irreducible partition, cup product.

- (2) We have $X = \bigcup_{1}^{n} C_{i} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_{\alpha}$, (3) If $J \subsetneq \{1, \dots, n\}$, then $X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in J} C_{i}$ is connected.

Notice that there are only finitely many closed solid sets, but potentially infinitely many open solid sets in an irreducible partition. We will see later that there are, in fact, only finitely many open sets. If there is only one closed set, i.e. n=1, we say the partition \mathcal{P} is trivial. In this case $\mathcal{P} = \{C, X \setminus C\}$ for some closed solid set C.

We define g(X) to be the maximum value of n-1 for irreducible partitions $\mathcal{P} = \{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \text{ of } X.$ Similarly, we let o(X) be the maximum value of $\operatorname{card}(A) - 1$ for such partitions. In particular, g(X) = 0 if and only if every partition of X is trivial, in which case o(X) = 0 also.

We will need two results from [2].

Proposition 2. If $C \subseteq X$ is solid and $C \subseteq U$ is open, then there is an open solid set W and a closed solid set K with $C \subseteq W \subseteq K \subseteq U$.

Proposition 3. If $\{C_i\}_1^n$ is a collection of closed solid sets such that $X \setminus \bigcup_1^n C_i$ is disconnected, but for every $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ a proper subset, $X \setminus \bigcup_{i \in J} C_i$ is connected, then the components $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ of $X \setminus \bigcup_{1}^{n} C_{i}$ are solid and so $\{C_{i}\}_{1}^{n} \cup \{U_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha} \in A}$ is an irreducible partition of X. We will say that $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^n$ generates an irreducible partition.

The construction in [2] proceeds as follows. Let A_s denote the collection of solid sets which are either open or closed. We say $\mu: \mathcal{A}_s \to [0,1]$ is a solid set function if

- (1) If C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n are disjoint, closed, solid sets contained in the closed, solid set C, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(C_i) \leq \mu(C)$,
- (2) If U is solid and open, then $\mu(U) = \sup{\{\mu(C) : C \subseteq U, C \text{ closed, solid}\}}$,
- (3) If $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an irreducible partition of X, then

$$\sum_{1}^{n} \mu(C_i) + \sum_{\alpha \in A} \mu(U_{\alpha}) = \mu(X) = 1.$$

The main result of [2] is the following theorem.

Theorem 4. Every solid set function extends uniquely to a quasi-measure on X.

Typically, the first two conditions in the definition of a solid set function are straightforward to check, but the third causes difficulties because the irreducible partitions of X must be known. For this reason, most known examples of quasimeasures occur in spaces such as the sphere or unit square where q(X) = 0. However, Knudsen managed to find a quasi-measure on the torus, where g(X) = 1. See [4] for details.

Our main goal, achieved in the last section of this paper is to give an explicit construction of solid set functions on a variety of spaces with q(X) = 1. While this may seem like a modest task, the examples of this paper are the only quasimeasures known for spaces with q(X) = 1 except for those that are images from spaces with g(X) = 0. The amount of work expended to produce these examples seems, at this time, to be necessary.

2. Irreducible partitions

In this section we present some basic properties of irreducible partitions. Our primary goal is to find a simpler way of determining g(X) for a given space X. In particular, we show that g(X) is related to the "sidedness" of solid sets.

Proposition 5. If $\mathcal{P} = \{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an irreducible partition of X, then $C_i \cap \overline{U}_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha \in A$.

Proof. Suppose that C_i and \overline{U}_{α} are disjoint. Then the boundary of U_{α} is contained in $\bigcup_{j\neq i} C_j$, so $U_{\alpha} \cup \bigcup_{j\neq i} C_j = \overline{U}_{\alpha} \cup \bigcup_{j\neq i} C_j$ is closed.

This shows that $X \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j = U_\alpha \cup \left[X \setminus (U_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j) \right]$ is a disjoint union of open sets. Since $U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ and C_i is disjoint from $U_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j$, we see that $X \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j$ is disconnected. This contradicts that \mathcal{P} is an irreducible partition.

Corollary 6. If $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an irreducible partition of X and $B \subseteq A$ is a proper subset, then $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_\alpha$ is connected.

Proof. We have $X \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in B} U_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_{i} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \notin B} U_{\alpha}$ is connected by the proposition since each C_{i} and U_{α} is connected.

Proposition 7. Let $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ be an irreducible partition of X. Then card A (the number of open sets) is finite.

Proof. First pick disjoint open sets W_i with $C_i \subseteq W_i$. Then, by the previous proposition, $W_i \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\alpha \in A$. Since U_α is connected, we cannot have $U_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i$ for any α . If we pick $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i)$, then $\{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ cannot have a limit point in any U_α , nor in any W_i . Since these sets cover X, we have a contradiction if A is infinite.

Thus there is a certain amount of symmetry between the open sets and the closed sets in an irreducible partition. We will see more examples of this as we proceed. Now we give a fundamental definition for our investigation.

Definition 8. Let C be a closed solid set in X. We say that C has at least n sides if there is an open set $C \subseteq U$ such that whenever W is open with $C \subseteq W \subseteq U$, then $W \setminus C$ has at least n connected components. If C has exactly n sides, we write $\mathrm{sd}(C) = n$. In this case, there is an open set U containing C such that whenever W is a connected open subset with $C \subseteq W \subseteq U$, $W \setminus C$ has exactly n connected components. We let s(X) denote the largest number of sides of any closed, solid set in X. We allow s(X) to be infinite, but we do not distinguish between different infinite cardinalities. Finally, if U is an open solid set, we define the number of sides of U to be the same as the number of sides of $X \setminus U$.

As an example, the set $[0,1] \times \{x\}$ is two-sided in the annulus $[0,1] \times S^1$ for every $x \in S^1$. On the other hand, the sets $\{0\} \times S^1$ and $\{1\} \times S^1$ are one-sided in the annulus. There is a 3-sided solid set in the two-holed annulus.

There are spaces where $s(X) = +\infty$ yet every closed, solid set has only finitely many sides. Such a space can be obtained by considering the join of *n*-holed annuli, one for each n.

Proposition 9. Let $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_j\}_1^m$ be an irreducible partition of X. Then $sd(C_i) \ge m$ for each i.

Proof. Let $U = X \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j$. Then, if $C_i \subseteq W \subseteq U$, we have $W \setminus C_i = \bigcup_1^m W \cap U_j$. By proposition 5, each $W \cap U_j$ is non-empty. Since the terms in the union are disjoint, $W \setminus C_i$ has at least m components.

Corollary 10. A one-sided closed set can only be in trivial irreducible partitions.

There is a type of regularity with respect to closed sets with at least n sides as described in the following proposition.

Proposition 11. Let C be a closed, solid set with at least $n \geq 2$ sides. Suppose that K is a closed set with $C \cap K = \emptyset$. Then there is a closed, solid set C' containing K and disjoint from C with $\operatorname{sd}(C') \geq n$. In fact, C' may be chosen so that $\{C, C'\}$ generates an irreducible partition with at least n open sets.

Proof. Use proposition 2 to obtain an open, solid set W with $C \subseteq W$ and $W \cap K = \emptyset$ such that $W \setminus C$ has at least n connected components. Let $C' = X \setminus W$. Then $X \setminus (C \cup C') = W \setminus C$ is disconnected so by proposition 3, $\{C, C'\}$ generates an irreducible partition with at least n open sets. Hence C' has at least n sides and $K \subseteq C'$.

Corollary 12. We have o(X) = s(X) - 1.

Proof. This follows immediately from the last two propositions. \square

The next proposition is analogous to a previous result for closed sets.

Proposition 13. If $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_j\}_1^m$ is an irreducible partition of X, then $sd(U_j) \ge n$ for each j.

Proof. Pick disjoint open, solid sets W_i with $C_i \subseteq W_i$ for $1 \le i \le n$. Let $U = \bigcup_{k \ne j} U_k \cup \bigcup_1^n W_i$. Then U is an open set containing $X \setminus U_\alpha$. If V is open with $X \setminus U_j \subseteq V \subseteq U$, then each $V \cap W_i$ is an open set containing C_i , so $V \cap W_i \cap U_j$ is non-empty by proposition 5. Thus, $V \setminus (X \setminus U_j) = V \cap U_j = \bigcup_1^n V \cap W_i \cap U_j$ has at least n components.

We can now construct a solid set function on fairly general spaces. Let $F \subseteq X$ be a closed subset such that $F \cap C \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\operatorname{sd}(C) \geq 2$. For example, if $X = S^1 \times [0,1]$, we may choose $F = S^1 \times \{0\}$. Let $p \notin F$ be any point of X. We may define a set function on solid sets μ by $\mu(A) = 1$ if $F \subseteq A$ or if $p \in A$ and $A \cap F \neq \emptyset$. Define $\mu(A) = 0$ otherwise. It is easily seen that μ then defines a solid set function and hence extends to a quasi-measure on X. Notice that if g(X) = 0, the condition on F trivializes. The first example of a non-linear quasi-linear functional was obtained from a quasi-measure of this type. See [1] for details. It is crucial to point out that for a general closed set, it is possible for $\mu(C) = 1$ even if $p \notin C$ and $C \cap F = \emptyset$. The above definition only applies to solid sets.

Theorem 14. Suppose that $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_j\}_1^m$ is an irreducible partition of X. Then there exists another irreducible partition $\{D_j\}_1^m \cup \{V_i\}_1^n$ such that $D_j \subseteq U_j$ for each $j \leq m$ and $C_i \subseteq V_i$ for each $i \leq n$. Furthermore, if each set of the original partition has finitely many sides, the new partition may be chosen so that each $U_j \setminus D_j$ has $\mathrm{sd}(U_j)$ components and each $V_i \setminus C_i$ has $\mathrm{sd}(C_i)$ components.

Proof. Pick disjoint open, solid sets $\{W_i\}_1^n$ with $C_i \subseteq W_i$ for each i. If C_i has finitely many sides, choose W_i so that $W_i \setminus C_i$ has $\mathrm{sd}(C_i)$ components. By proposition 5,

each $W_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$. Since each U_j is connected, we have that $U_j \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i)$ is nonempty. By proposition 2, we may find a closed, solid set D_j with $U_j \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n W_i) \subseteq$ $D_i \subseteq U_i$. If U_i has finitely many sides, we may choose D_i so that $U_i \setminus D_i$ has $sd(U_i)$ components. In any case, we can obtain that $U_i \setminus D_j$ is disconnected. We will show that $\{D_j\}_1^m$ generates an irreducible partition with the required properties.

First notice that $\bigcup_{1}^{n} C_{i} \subseteq X \setminus \bigcup_{1}^{m} D_{j} \subseteq \bigcup_{1}^{n} W_{i}$, so $X \setminus \bigcup_{1}^{m} D_{j}$ is disconnected (recall that $C_i \subseteq W_i$). In fact, it has at least n components.

Next, if $J \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ is a proper subset, then $X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ is connected by corollary 6. We have $X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j = (X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j) \cup \bigcup_{j \in J} (U_j \setminus D_j)$.

Since, $U_j \setminus D_j$ is disconnected, $\{D_j, X \setminus U_j\}$ generates an irreducible partition of X. Let $U_j \setminus D_j = \bigcup_{k=1}^{m_j} V_{jk}$ be the decomposition into connected components where each V_{jk} is solid. Then, again by proposition 5, we have $\emptyset \neq \overline{V}_{jk} \cap X \setminus U_j \subseteq$ $\overline{U}_j \setminus U_j \subseteq X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$. Thus $\overline{V}_{jk} \cap X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ is non-empty. Since both V_{jk} and $X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j$ are connected, so is $(X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j) \cup V_{jk}$.

We next have that $X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} D_j = \bigcup_{j,k} \left[(X \setminus \bigcup_{j \in J} U_j) \cup V_{jk} \right]$ is connected. By proposition 3, we see that $\{D_j\}_1^m$ generates an irreducible partition of X. Since $X \setminus \bigcup_{1}^{m} D_{j}$ has at least n components, there are at least n open solid sets in this partition. We may list these components so that $C_i \subseteq V_i \subseteq W_i$ for $i \leq n$. Then, by choice of W_i , $V_i \setminus C_i$ has $sd(C_i)$ components.

The only remaining issue is whether there are more than n components to $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} D_{i}$. If V is a component different from each V_{i} with $i \leq n$, then V is also disjoint from each C_i . But this shows that $V \subseteq \bigcup_{1}^{m} U_j \setminus D_j$. Hence $V \subseteq V_{jk}$ for some j, k. But then $\overline{V} \cup D_{j_0} = \emptyset$ for $j_0 \neq j$. This contradicts Proposition 5. \square

Corollary 15. We have q(X) = o(X) = s(X) - 1.

Corollary 16. The quantity g(X) + 1 is equal to the maximum number of components of a set of the form $V \cap W$ where V and W are open solid sets with $V \cup W = X$.

Proof. This is easily seen from proposition 11 by letting $C = X \setminus V$ and C' =

As we shall see, this characterization allows the use of algebraic topological techniques to evaluate q(X).

Corollary 17. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_i\}_1^m$ be an irreducible partition of X where all sets are finitely sided. Then

$$\sum_{1}^{n} \operatorname{sd}(C_{i}) = \sum_{1}^{m} \operatorname{sd}(U_{j}).$$

We call the common value the trace of the partition and denote it by tr(P).

Proof. This follows immediately from the theorem by noticing that

$$\bigcup_{1}^{n} (V_i \setminus C_i) = \bigcup_{1}^{m} (U_j \setminus D_j).$$

3. Cohomology and irreducible partitions

It is worthwhile at this point to consider what is involved when determining the irreducible partitions of a space X, or even the value of g(X). For example, if $X = [0,1]^2$, then g(X) = 0, although even this simple fact is not obvious and requires some algebraic topology. In [4], it was shown that for CW-complexes, g(X) = 0 if and only if the cohomology module $H^1(X) = 0$. This is what justifies the heavy reliance on the cohomology ring in this paper.

In this section, we will assume that X is locally path connected in addition to being connected and compact. We will be primarily interested in the cohomology modules $\tilde{H}^0(V)$ and $H^1(V)$ for V open in X. It is also assumed that all coefficient rings are principal ideal domains. We start with a definition.

Definition 18. A submodule $L \subseteq H^1(X)$ will be called isotropic if whenever $\alpha, \beta \in L$, we have $\alpha \cup \beta = 0$ in $H^2(X)$. Here, $\alpha \cup \beta$ is the cohomology cup product of α and β . We let d(X) be the maximum rank of an isotropic submodule of $H^1(X)$.

Notice that if $H^2(X) = 0$, we have $d(X) = \operatorname{rank} H^1(X)$. In any case $d(X) \leq \operatorname{rank} H^1(X)$.

We need one crucial, but elementary, fact about cup products. If V and W are open sets of X with $V \cup W = X$, and if i_V, i_W are the maps on cohomology induced by the inclusions, then $\alpha \cup \beta = 0$ whenever $\alpha \in \ker i_V$ and $\beta \in \ker i_W$. This follows easily from the naturality properties of the cup product on pairs of spaces. In particular, then $\ker i_V \cap \ker i_W$ is an isotropic submodule.

Theorem 19. We have $s(X) \leq 1 + d(X)$.

Proof. Let C be any closed solid set and let W be an open solid set containing C. Let $V = X \setminus C$. Then $V \cup W = X$, so the Mayer-Vietoris sequence is exact:

$$\to \tilde{H}^0(V) \oplus \tilde{H}^0(W) \to \tilde{H}^0(V \cap W) \to H^1(X) \to H^1(V) \oplus H^1(W) \to .$$

Since V and W are solid, they are connected, so $\tilde{H}^0(V) = \tilde{H}^0(W) = 0$. Thus the boundary map from $\tilde{H}^0(V \cap W)$ to $H^1(X)$ is injective. By exactness, the image is the kernel $L = \ker i_V \cap \ker i_W$. But L is an isotropic submodule. Since the rank of $\tilde{H}^0(V \cap W)$ is one less than the number of components of $V \cap W$, we see that the number of components of $W \setminus C = V \cap W$ is less than $1 + \operatorname{rank} L \leq 1 + d(X)$. \square

Corollary 20. We always have $g(X) \leq d(X)$.

Using corollary 16 and a calculation as in the proof of the theorem, we see that g(X) is the maximum value of rank(ker $i_V \cap \ker i_W$) where V and W range over the open solid sets V and W where $V \cup W = X$.

Proposition 21. If X and Y are spaces with $H^*(X)$ and $H^*(Y)$ of finite type and the coefficient ring is a field, then $d(X \times Y) = \max\{d(X), d(Y)\}.$

Proof. In this situation, we may apply the Künneth formula to see that an element of $H^1(X \times Y)$ is of the form $\alpha \times 1 + 1 \times \beta$, where $\alpha \in H^1(X)$ and $\beta \in H^1(Y)$. The cup product is given by the formula

$$(\alpha_1 \times 1 + 1 \times \beta_1) \cup (\alpha_2 \times 1 + 1 \times \beta_2)$$

= $(\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2) \times 1 + \alpha_1 \times \beta_2 - \alpha_2 \times \beta_1 + 1 \times (\beta_1 \cup \beta_2).$

By the independence parts of the Künneth formula, this can be 0 only when $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 = 0$, $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2 = 0$, and $\alpha_1 \times \beta_2 = \alpha_2 \times \beta_1$. But this requires either that $\alpha_1 \times 1 + 1 \times \beta_1$

is a scalar multiple of $\alpha_2 \times 1 + 1 \times \beta_2$, or that $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$, or $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$. This gives the required result.

Examples. a) If $H^1(X) = 0$, we see that g(X) = 0. This, and the converse for CW-complexes, was proved by Knudsen in [4].

- b) If X is a compact, 2-dimensional manifold without boundary, we take coefficients in the ring $\mathbf{Z}/(2)$. Since $H^2(X) = \mathbf{Z}/(2)$, the cup product on $H^1(X)$ induces an alternating bilinear form. It is a well-known consequence of Poincaré duality that this form is non-degenerate. A subspace is isotropic under our definition if and only if it is isotropic under this bilinear form, see [3]. This justifies our terminology. In this setting, d(X) is called the Witt index of $H^1(X)$. It is known that this index is less than half the dimension of $H^1(X)$ over $\mathbf{Z}/(2)$. In particular, if X is the oriented 2-manifold of genus n, then $g(X) \leq n$. If X is the non-oriented manifold obtained by attaching n crosscaps to the sphere, then $g(X) \leq [n/2]$. Examples of closed solid sets with the required number of sides show both of these are equalities.
- c) If X is the n-holed annulus, rank $H^1(X) = n$, so $g(X) \le n$. Here, it is easy to construct an irreducible partition of X with n closed sets.
- d) Our definition of d(X) does depend on the coefficient ring. In fact, if X is the non-orientable surface obtained by attaching n cross caps to a sphere, then with coefficients in the rationals, \mathbf{Q} , d(X) = n 1. However, with any field as coefficient ring, d(X) = g(X) = 1 when X is the circle, the Möbius strip, or the Klein bottle.
- e) It is possible for $d(X) \neq g(X)$ for every coefficient ring. For example, if X is the space consisting of two tangent circles, d(X) = 2, while g(X) = 1.

Proposition 22. Let $\{C_i\}_1^n$ be a collection of disjoint, closed, solid sets such that $X \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} C_i$ is connected. Let s_i be the number of sides of C_i . Then

$$\sum_{1}^{n} s_i \le n + d(X).$$

This provides another way to see that $g(X) \leq d(X)$, since if $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_j\}_1^m$ is a non-trivial irreducible partition of X, each $s_i \geq 2$ and $\{C_i\}_1^{n-1}$ satisfies the conditions of the proposition.

Proof. Let $C_i \subseteq W_i$ be disjoint open, solid sets. Let $W = \bigcup_1^n W_i$ and $V = X \setminus \bigcup_1^n C_i$. Then V is connected and W has n components. Also, $W \cup V = X$ and $W \cap V = \bigcup_1^n (W_i \setminus C_i)$. Using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(X) \to H^0(V) \oplus H^0(W) \to H^0(V \cap W) \to \ker i_V \cap \ker i_W \to 0.$$

Thus we have, by taking the alternating sum of the ranks, that

$$1 - (1+n) + \operatorname{rank} H^0(V \cap W) - \operatorname{rank}(\ker i_V \cap \ker i_W) = 0.$$

Since $\ker i_V \cap \ker i_W$ is an isotropic submodule, we have

$$\operatorname{rank} H^0(V \cap W) = n + \operatorname{rank}(\ker i_V \cap \ker i_W) \le n + d(X).$$

If we now let W_i shrink down to C_i , the left hand side is $\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i$.

Another application of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence provides the following proposition.

2066 D. J. GRUBB

Proposition 23. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_j\}_1^m$ be an irreducible partition of X. Then $\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{P}) < d(X) + n + m - 1$.

Proof. Let $\{D_j\}_1^m \cup \{V_i\}_1^n$ be a partition as in theorem 14. Set $V = \bigcup_1^n V_i$ and $W = \bigcup_1^m U_j$. Then $V \cap W = \bigcup_1^m (U_j \setminus D_j)$ has $\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{P})$ components. The result follows as in the previous proof.

Proposition 24. Let $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_j\}_1^m$ be an irreducible partition of X with $n \geq 3$. If $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and let $B = \bigcup_1^m U_j \cup C_i \cup C_j$, then

rank
$$H^1(B) \ge m - 1$$
.

Proof. Let $V = X \setminus \bigcup_{k \neq i} C_k$ and $W = X \setminus \bigcup_{k \neq j} C_k$. Then $V \cap W = \bigcup_{1}^{m} U_j$ and $V \cup W = B$. Also, both V and W are connected, so

$$0 = \tilde{H}^0(V) \oplus \tilde{H}^0(W) \to \tilde{H}^0(\bigcup_{1}^m U_j) \to H^1(B) \to$$

gives the result.

We define a space X to be a Witt space for a coefficient ring if g(X) = d(X). It seems natural to ask which spaces are Witt spaces and which are not. The classification theorem and explicit examples of solid sets shows that all 2-manifolds with boundary are Witt spaces. We notice that $A \times Y$ is solid in $X \times Y$ if Y is connected and A is solid in X. Hence, we always have that $g(X \times Y) \ge \max\{g(X), g(Y)\}$, the product of two Witt spaces is again a Witt space as long as the Künneth Theorem holds. In particular, any space of the form $X = (S^1)^n$ has d(X) = g(X) = 1.

In the case of Witt spaces, the last few results put very stringent conditions on the sets in an irreducible partition. For example, if X is a Witt space with g(X) = 1, then any two disjoint, closed, two-sided sets disconnect the space by proposition 22 and hence generate an irreducible partition. There can only be two open sets in this partition, each of which is 2-sided by corollary 17.

On the other hand, if X is a Witt space with g(X) = 2, then two 2-sided closed sets need not disconnect, but three automatically do. In the latter case, each open set must have at least three sides, so by using the trace, there must be two such open sets. Continuing in this manner, it is possible to classify all the possible irreducible partitions for spaces with small values of g(X). This, however, does not easily yield quasi-measures since the containment properties of the sets must also be considered.

We next turn to a more detailed analysis of how closed solid sets are positioned in the overall space.

If C is any closed set, we may construct the Alexander cohomology of C by the direct limit

$$\check{H}^k(C) = \lim_{V} H^k(V)$$

where V ranges over the open sets containing C. If C is solid, the open solid sets are cofinal in the collection of all open sets containing C, so we may obtain $\check{H}^k(C)$ by taking the direct limit over only open solid sets. Another interesting cohomology module associated with C is the direct limit

$$\check{H}_s^k(C) = \lim_V H^k(V \setminus C).$$

We call this module the cohomology of the sides of C. This cohomology module is sensitive to the area near C. In fact, $\check{H}_s^0(C)$ is free with as many generators as C has sides. Both of the cohomology modules above have analogous reduced cohomology modules.

Proposition 25. Let $C \subseteq X$ be solid. There is an exact sequence

$$\to H^k(X) \to \check{H}^k(C) \oplus H^k(X \setminus C) \to \check{H}^k_s(C) \to H^{k+1}(X) \to$$

which can be initiated with reduced cohomology modules.

Proof. Let $V = X \setminus C$. If $C \subseteq W$, we have a Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$\to H^k(X) \to H^k(W) \oplus H^k(V) \to H^k(W \cap V) \to H^{k+1}(X) \to .$$

Since $W \cap V = W \setminus C$, we obtain the result by taking direct limits.

In particular, if $i_C: H^1(X) \to \check{H}^1(C)$ is the map induced by inclusion, and $V = X \setminus C$, we see that the rank of $L = \ker i_C \cap \ker i_V$ is one less than the number of sides of C. Furthermore, L is an isotropic submodule of $H^1(X)$. In fact, if $\alpha \in \ker i_C$ and $\beta \in \ker i_V$, there is a solid set W containing C with $i_W(\alpha) = 0$. Since $V \cup W = X$, $\alpha \cup \beta = 0$. We call L the isotropic submodule associated with

Theorem 26. Let X be a Witt space with g(X) = 1 and let C_1 and C_2 be two disjoint closed solid, two-sided sets. If L_1 and L_2 are the isotropic submodule associated with C_1 and C_2 , then $L_1 + L_2$ has rank one. In particular, if the coefficient ring is a field, $L_1 = L_2$. Moreover, if the coefficient ring is a field of characteristic not equal to 2, then $L_1 = \ker i_{C_1} = \ker i_{X \setminus C_1}$. Similarly for L_2 .

Proof. Let $V_i = X \setminus C_i$. Since C_1 is two-sided, $L_1 = \ker i_{C_1} \cap \ker i_{V_1}$ has rank one by the comments after proposition 25. Let $\alpha \in L_1$ and $\beta \in L_2$. Since $V_1 \cup V_2 = X$, $\alpha \in \ker i_{V_1}$ and $\beta \in \ker i_{V_2}$, we must have that $\alpha \cup \beta = 0$. We also have that $\alpha \cup \alpha = \beta \cup \beta = 0$ since L_1 and L_2 are isotropic. This shows that $L_1 + L_2$ is an isotropic submodule of $H^1(X)$. Thus $L_1 + L_2$ has rank one.

Now assume that the coefficient ring is a field of characteristic not 2 and let $\alpha \in \ker i_{V_1}$ and $\beta \in \ker i_{V_2}$. As before, $\alpha \cup \beta = 0$. But now $\alpha \cup \alpha = \beta \cup \beta = 0$ because the field is not of characteristic two. Thus, the space spanned by α and β is isotropic. Since d(X) = 1, we must have that α is a scalar multiple of β . Since this happens for all α and β , we must have that $\ker i_{V_1} = \ker i_{V_2}$. But this means that both of these subspaces are one dimensional since $\ker i_{V_1} \cap \ker i_{V_2}$ is isotropic.

But now, $L_1 = \ker i_{C_1} \cap \ker i_{V_1}$ is one dimensional. Since $\ker i_{V_1}$ is also one dimensional, $\ker i_{V_1} \subseteq \ker i_{C_1}$.

Now suppose that $\alpha \in \ker i_{C_1}$. Then there is an open solid set W containing C_1 such that $\alpha \in \ker i_W$. By definition of two sidedness, we may assume that $W \setminus C_1$ has two components. Thus $\{C_1, X \setminus W\}$ generates an irreducible partition of X. By the above, we have that $\ker i_{V_1} = \ker i_W$ contains α . Thus $\ker i_{C_1} \subseteq \ker i_{V_1} \subseteq \ker i_{C_1}$. This completes the proof.

Thus, we may classify the closed solid sets in X by considering the associated isotropic subspaces. We also see that every set in an irreducible partition has the same associated subspace. This will ultimately allow the construction of some interesting quasi-measures. The basic idea is to have solid sets with different associated isotropic subspaces be measured by different measures. The details are the substance of the next section.

4. Quasi-measures when g(X) = 1

In this section we will asssume that X is a compact, connected, locally connected Hausdorff space with g(X) = 1. We will also assume that whenever C and C' are disjoint closed, solid, two-sided sets, then $\{C, C'\}$ generates an irreducible partition of X.

This last condition is non-trivial. For example, if X consists of two tangent circles, we have that g(X) = 1, but closed solid sets in different circles will not disconnect the space. However, if X is any locally path connected Witt space with g(X) = 1, our hypothesis is satisfied by proposition 22.

We let C_s denote the collection of all closed solid sets in X. Similarly, we let C_{s1} (resp. C_{s2}) denote the collection of all one-sided (resp. two-sided) closed solid sets. Since s(X) = 1 + g(X) = 2, $C_s = C_{s1} \cup C_{s2}$. Our basic assumption for this section is that whenever C_1 and C_2 are disjoint elements of C_{s2} , then $X \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2)$ is disconnected.

In this situation, we define an equivalence relation on C_{s2} by letting $C \sim C'$ if there is a sequence of two-sided closed sets $C = C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n = C'$ with $C_i \cap C_{i+1} = \emptyset$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$. In other words, each pair of adjacent C_i 's disconnect the whole space and hence generate an irreducible partition. Let \mathcal{E} be the collection of equivalence classes in C_{s2} under this equivalence relation. Notice that solid sets in different equivalence classes must intersect.

Our procedure for constructing a quasi-measure on X is to use a different measure for each equivalence class of two-sided closed sets. We also want to assign measures to the one-sided sets so that we obtain a solid set function. To do this, we need to classify the types of one-sided closed solid sets.

Let

 $\mathcal{T}_1 = \{ C \in \mathcal{C}_{s1} : \text{ there is a } C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2} \text{ such that } C' \subseteq C \},$

 $\mathcal{T} = \{ C \in \mathcal{C}_{s1} : \text{ for every } C \subseteq V \text{ open, there is a } C' \in \mathcal{T}_1 \text{ with } C \subseteq C' \subseteq V \},$

 $\mathcal{F} = \{C \in \mathcal{C}_{s1} : \text{ there is a } C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s1} \text{ disjoint from } C \text{ such that for every } D \in \mathcal{C}_{s2} \}$

we have $C \cap D \neq \emptyset \neq C' \cap D$,

 $\mathcal{B} = \{ C \in \mathcal{C}_{s1} : \text{ there is a } C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2} \text{ such that } C' \cap C = \emptyset \}.$

Lemma 27. Suppose that $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}_s$ with $C_1 \subseteq C$, $C_2 \subseteq C'$ and $C \cap C' = \emptyset$. Then C and C' are two-sided. Hence $C \sim C'$.

Proof. We need only show that $X \setminus (C \cup C')$ is disconnected since then $\{C, C'\}$ generates an irreducible partition of X. Since C_1 and C_2 are disjoint two-sided sets, our assumption on X shows that $X \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2)$ is disconnected. Since o(X) = g(X) = 1, there are open solid sets U_1 and U_2 with $X \setminus (C_1 \cup C_2) = U_1 \cup U_2$. Now $X \setminus (C \cup C') \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2$, so if $X \setminus (C \cup C')$ is connected, we may assume it is contained in, say U_1 . But then $X \setminus U_1 \subseteq C \cup C'$. Since $C_1 \subseteq C \cap (X \setminus U_1)$ and $C_2 \subseteq C' \cap (X \setminus U_1)$, we see that $X \setminus U_1$ is disconnected, contradicting the solidity of U_1 .

Lemma 28. We have $C_{s1} = T \cup F \cup B$, a disjoint union. Hence $C_s = T \cup F \cup B \cup \bigcup \{S : S \in \mathcal{E}\}\$ is a disjoint union.

Proof. Assume that $C \in \mathcal{C}_{s1} \setminus (\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{F})$. Then $C \cap C' \neq \emptyset$ for every $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$. Suppose that $C \subseteq V$ where we may assume that V is solid. Let $C' = X \setminus V$. Since $C \notin \mathcal{F}$, there is a $D \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$ with $C' \cap D = \emptyset$, that is $D \subseteq V$. Now, $C \cap D \neq \emptyset$, so $C \cup D$ is

connected. Let D' be the solid hull of $C \cup D$ in V (see [2] for details). Then D' is a closed, solid set contained in V and containing $C \cup D$. We claim that $D' \in \mathcal{C}_{s1}$ which shows that $D' \in \mathcal{T}_1$. This will show that $C \in \mathcal{T}$. But if $D' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, there is a disjoint element $D'' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$ by proposition 11. Then $D'' \cap C = \emptyset$, which shows that $C \in \mathcal{B}$, a contradiction.

Clearly, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{B} are disjoint. Suppose that $C \in \mathcal{F}$. Then there is a $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s1}$ which intersects every two-sided closed set and is disjoint from C. Then $V = X \setminus C'$ contains C, but no two-sided set. Hence $C \notin \mathcal{T}$. Thus \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{T} are disjoint. Now suppose that $C \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{T}$. There is a two-sided closed set D with $C \cap D = \emptyset$. Pick $C' \in \mathcal{T}$ with $C \subseteq C' \subseteq X \setminus D$. Let $D' \subseteq C'$ be two-sided. The preceding lemma shows that $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, which contradicts that $C' \in \mathcal{T}$.

As an example, the subset $\{0\} \times S^1$ of the annulus $[0,1] \times S^1$ is an element of \mathcal{F} . On the other hand, it may be shown that if X is the torus, $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$. If C is the closed subset of the annulus that consists of the outside circle and an infinite spiral from the inside to the outside, then C is in \mathcal{T} but not the related set \mathcal{T}_1 .

Lemma 29. Suppose that $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}_s$ and $C \subseteq C'$.

- a) If $C \in \mathcal{T}$, then $C' \in \mathcal{T}$.
- b) If $C \in \mathcal{F}$, then $C' \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{T}$.
- c) If C is two-sided with $C \in S \in \mathcal{E}$, then $C' \in S \cup \mathcal{T}$.
- *Proof.* a) Suppose that $C' \subseteq V$ where we may assume that V is open and solid. Since $C \subseteq V$, there is a $C'' \in \mathcal{T}_1$ with $C \subseteq C'' \subseteq V$. We may find D closed and solid with $C' \cup C'' \subseteq D \subseteq V$. Then $D \in \mathcal{T}_1$, showing that $C' \in \mathcal{T}$.
 - b) If $C' \in \mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, then there is a $D \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$ with $D \cap C' = \emptyset$. But then $C \cap D = \emptyset$, so $C \notin \mathcal{F}$.
 - c) If C' is one-sided, then $C' \in \mathcal{T}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, while if C' is two-sided, then there is a disjoint $D \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, so $C' \sim D \sim C$, so $C' \in S$.

Lemma 30. Let $C, C' \in \mathcal{C}_s$ with $C \cap C' = \emptyset$.

- a) If $C \in \mathcal{T}$, then $C' \in \mathcal{B}$.
- b) If $C \in \mathcal{F}$, then $C' \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{B}$.
- c) If C is two-sided and $C \in S \in \mathcal{E}$, then $C' \in S \cup \mathcal{B}$.
- *Proof.* a) In the definition of \mathcal{T} , let $V = X \setminus C'$. We obtain a closed solid set $D \in \mathcal{T}_1$ with $D \cap C' = \emptyset$. Since D contains an element of \mathcal{C}_{s2} , we must have that $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2} \cup \mathcal{B}$. If $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, the lemma 27 shows that $D \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$ also, which contradicts that $D \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Thus $C' \in \mathcal{B}$.
 - b) By definition of \mathcal{F} , $C' \notin \mathcal{C}_{s2}$. But $C' \notin \mathcal{T}$ by the previous part.
 - c) If C' is one-sided, then, by definition, $C' \in \mathcal{B}$. Otherwise, $C' \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, so $C \sim C'$. Thus C' is in the same equivalence class, S, as C.

Finally, we need a lemma that will be used to show regularity for the sets in \mathcal{B} .

Lemma 31. If $C \in \mathcal{B}$, there is an element $C' \in \mathcal{T}_1$ with $C \cap C' = \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume not. By definition of \mathcal{B} , there is a $D_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$ with $C \cap D_1 = \emptyset$. If V is any open, solid set with $C \subseteq V \subseteq X \setminus D_1$, then $D_1 \subseteq X \setminus V$. Since $X \setminus V \notin \mathcal{T}_1$, we must have that $X \setminus V \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$.

We now claim that $X \setminus (C \cup D_1)$ is disconnected. This will show that $\{C, D_1\}$ generates an irreducible partition, so $C \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, a contradiction.

To show this, let $W = X \setminus D_1$. By proposition 11, there is a $D_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$ with $C \subseteq \text{int } D_2 \subseteq D_2 \subseteq W$. Then $W \setminus D_2 = X \setminus (D_1 \cup D_2)$ is disconnected by our assumption on X. Let $W \setminus D_2 = U_1 \cup U_2$, where U_1 and U_2 are open solid sets. Pick $x_1 \in U_1$ and $x_2 \in U_2$. If $W \setminus C = X \setminus (C \cup D_1)$ is connected, then there is a connected closed set K with $x_1, x_2 \in K \subseteq W \setminus C$.

Now let V be an open solid set with $C \subseteq V \subseteq \operatorname{int}(D_2) \cap (W \setminus K)$. By the above, $X \setminus V \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$, so there is yet another $D_3 \in \mathcal{C}_{s2}$ with $C \subseteq D_3 \subseteq V$. Then $W \setminus D_3 = X \setminus (D_1 \cup D_3)$ is disconnected with say, $W \setminus D_3 = V_1 \cup V_2$ with V_1 and V_2 open solid sets.

Since $D_3 \subseteq D_2$, $U_1 \cup U_2 = W \setminus D_2 \subseteq W \setminus D_3$. Also, $K \subseteq W \setminus V \subseteq W \setminus D_3$, so the connected set $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup K$ is contained in $V_1 \cup V_2$. Thus, we may assume that $W \setminus D_2 = U_1 \cup U_2 \subseteq V_1$. This shows that $V_2 \subseteq W \cap X \setminus V_1 \subseteq D_2$. But then $\overline{V}_2 \subseteq D_2$, so $\overline{V}_2 \cap D_1 = \emptyset$. Since $\{D_1, D_3, V_1, V_2\}$ is an irreducible partition, this contradicts proposition 5.

We now proceed to our construction of a family of quasi-measures on X. Pick ν a quasi-measure on X and for each equivalence class $S \in \mathcal{E}$, let μ_S be a quasimeasure on X. We assume that $\nu(X) = \mu_S(X) = 1$ for all $S \in \mathcal{E}$. In particular, any of the quasi-measures ν , or μ_S may be chosen to be measures on X. For any closed, solid set C, we define

$$\tau(C) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } C \in \mathcal{T}, \\ \nu(C) & \text{if } C \in \mathcal{F}, \\ \mu_S(C) & \text{if } C \in S \in \mathcal{E}, \\ 0 & \text{if } C \in \mathcal{B}. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 32. The set function τ defined above is a solid set function. Thus it extends uniquely to a quasi-measure on X.

Proof. We show the properties of a solid set function listed after proposition 3.

I: If C_1, \dots, C_n are disjoint closed solid sets contained in the closed solid set C, then $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau(C_k) \le \tau(C)$. Case 1: Some $C_i \in \mathcal{T}$.

In this case, for $j \neq i$, we must have $C_j \in \mathcal{B}$. Also $C \in \mathcal{T}$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau(C_k) = 1$ $1 = \tau(C)$.

Case 2: Some $C_i \in \mathcal{F}$.

In this case, for $j \neq i$, $C_j \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{B}$, so $\tau(C_j) \leq \nu(C_j)$. Also $C \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{T}$, so $\nu(C) \leq \tau(C)$. Thus $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau(C_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \nu(C_k) \leq \nu(C) \leq \tau(C)$. Case 3: Some C_i is two-sided with $C_i \in S \in \mathcal{E}$.

Here, for $j \neq i$, $C_j \in S \cup \mathcal{B}$ and $C \in S \cup \mathcal{T}$. Thus $\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau(C_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_S(C_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_S(C_k)$ $\mu_S(C) \leq \tau(C)$.

Case 4: All $C_k \in \mathcal{B}$.

Then
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau(C_k) = 0 \le \tau(C).$$

II: If U is open and solid, define $\tau(U) = 1 - \tau(X \setminus U)$. Then $\tau(U) = \sup\{\tau(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}_s, C \subseteq U\}$.

Case 1: $X \setminus U \in \mathcal{T}$.

Then, if $C \subseteq U$, we have $C \in \mathcal{B}$, so $\tau(C) = 0 = \tau(U)$.

Case 2: $X \setminus U \in \mathcal{F}$.

First notice that $\tau(U) = 1 - \tau(X \setminus U) = 1 - \nu(X \setminus U) = \nu(U)$. If $C \subseteq U$, then $C \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{B}$, so $\tau(C) \leq \nu(C) \leq \nu(U)$. Thus $\tau(U) \geq \sup{\{\tau(C) : C \in \mathcal{C}_s, C \subseteq U\}}$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Use regularity of ν to find a closed set $K \subseteq U$ with $\nu(K) > \nu(U) - \varepsilon$. By the definition of \mathcal{F} , there is a $C' \in \mathcal{F}$ with $C' \subseteq U$. Now use proposition 2 to find a closed solid set $C \subseteq U$ with $K \cup C' \subseteq C$. Then $C \notin \mathcal{C}_{s2}$ since it does not intersect $X \setminus U \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $C' \in \mathcal{F}$, $C \in \mathcal{F} \cup \mathcal{T}$, so $\tau(C) \geq \nu(C) \geq \nu(K) \geq \nu(U) - \varepsilon \geq \tau(U) - \varepsilon$.

Case 3: $X \setminus U$ is two-sided and $X \setminus U \in S \in \mathcal{E}$.

If $C \subseteq U$, then $C \in S \cup \mathcal{B}$, so $\tau(C) \leq \mu_S(C) \leq \mu_S(U) = \tau(U)$.

On the other hand, if $\varepsilon > 0$, we may find a closed set $K \subseteq U$ with $\mu_S(K) > \mu_S(U) - \varepsilon$. Now use proposition 11 to find a two-sided set C with $K \subseteq C \subseteq U$. Since C is disjoint from $X \setminus U$, $C \sim X \setminus U$, so $C \in S$. Thus $\tau(C) = \mu_S(C) \ge \mu_S(K) > \mu_S(U) - \varepsilon = \tau(U) - \varepsilon$.

Case 4: $X \setminus U \in \mathcal{B}$. By lemma 31, there is a $C \in \mathcal{T}_1$ disjoint from $X \setminus U$. Then $C \subseteq U$ and $\tau(C) = 1 = \tau(U)$.

III. If $\{C_i\}_1^n \cup \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ is an irreducible partition of X, then

$$\sum \tau(C_i) + \sum \tau(U_\alpha) = 1.$$

If the partition is trivial, $\mathcal{P} = \{C, X \setminus C\}$, then this is the definition of $\tau(X \setminus C)$. On the other hand, all non-trivial partitions are of the form $\mathcal{P} = \{C_1, C_2, U_1, U_2\}$ where C_1 and C_2 are closed and U_1 and U_2 are open. Furthermore, $C_1 \sim C_2 \sim X \setminus U_1 \sim X \setminus U_2$. If we let $S \in \mathcal{E}$ be the equivalence class of these sets, then $\tau(C_1) + \tau(C_2) + \tau(U_1) + \tau(U_2) = \mu_S(C_1) + \mu_S(C_2) + \mu_S(U_1) + \mu_S(U_2) = \mu_S(X) = 1$. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

As a corollary to this theorem, we obtain a useful construction procedure for Witt spaces with g(X) = 1. We assume cohomology has coefficients in a field.

Corollary 33. Let X be a Witt space with g(X) = 1. For each one dimensional isotropic subspace L of $H^1(X)$, let μ_L be any quasi-measure on X. Define ν for two-sided closed, solid sets by $\nu(C) = \mu_L(C)$ if the isotropic subspace associated with C is L. For one-sided closed, solid sets, define ν as in the theorem. Then ν is a solid set function, hence extends to a quasi-measure on X.

Proof. Simply notice that two-sided sets with distinct isotropic subspaces are in distinct equivalence classes in the sense of the theorem. \Box

We now give some examples that are of some interest.

First, let X be the annulus, $X = S^1 \times [0,1]$. There is only one class of two-sided closed sets. In fact, these are the solid sets that "go across" the annulus without "going around". The elements of \mathcal{F} , on the other hand "go around", but not "across". The elements of \mathcal{T} "go around and across" and those of \mathcal{B} do "neither". Let $p, q \in X$ be given. If we give elements of \mathcal{T} mass 1, those of \mathcal{B} mass 0, use the pointmass at p for the two-sided sets, and use the pointmass at q for the sets in \mathcal{F} ,

2072 D. J. GRUBB

then we get a quasi-measure on X. It is easy to show that this quasi-measure fails to be subadditive and hence is not a measure. Notice that any contractible space can be used in place of [0,1] in this example. As a further example on the annulus, we can use Lebesgue measure in the plane to measure both elements of C_{s2} and \mathcal{F} . This will lead to a rotationally invariant quasi-measure that is not a measure.

Next, let $X = (S^1)^p$, the p-dimensional torus. Then $H^1(X) = R^p$, where R is the coefficient ring. Let R be the ring of integers. The maximal one dimensional subspaces are of the form $\{k(n_1, \dots, n_p) : k \in R\}$ where n_1, \dots, n_p are relatively prime. Hence, we get to choose a different quasi-measure for each p-tuple of relatively prime integers. If all these quasi-measures are chosen to be the Haar measure on X, the result is a "Haar" quasi-measure in the sense that it is translationally invariant in the group X. Alternatively, we can choose a different pointmass for each p-tuple as above and obtain a $\{0,1\}$ -valued quasi-measure on X. This generalizes the case of p=2 given in [4].

It should be pointed out that all known quasi-measures on, say $X = S^1 \times [0, 1]^2$, are of three types: the image of a quasi-measure on a space with g = 0, an Aarnes quasi-measure such as those constructed before theorem 14, or a quasi-measure such as those in theorem 32. For spaces with larger values of g(X), the only known examples are images or Aarnes quasi-measures. This is in marked contrast with the case when g(X) = 0, where a dense collection of $\{0, 1\}$ -valued quasi-measures is known. It is hoped that this paper will stimulate the further development of examples for spaces where g(X) > 1.

References

- Aarnes, Johan, Quasi-states and Quasi-measures, Advances in Mathematics 86 (1991) 41-67.
 MR 92d:46152
- [2] Aarnes, Johan, Construction of Non Subadditive Measures and Discretization of Borel Measures, Fundamenta Mathematicae 147 (1995) 213-237. MR 96k:28022
- [3] Jacobson, Nathan, Basic Algebra I (W. H. Freeman and Company, 1985). MR 86d:00001
- [4] Knudsen, Finn, Topology and the Construction of Extreme Quasi-measures, Advances in Mathematics 120 (1996), 302–321. MR 97e:28007

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, DEKALB, ILLINOIS 60115

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: grubb@math.niu.edu}$